




Ask Suicide-Screening Questions (asQ)

<i>Client Name</i>	Generic Jenny	<i>Date administered</i>	25 Jun 2026
<i>Date of birth (age)</i>	1 Jan 1900 (126)	<i>Time taken</i>	15s
<i>Assessor</i>	Joseph Phillips		

asQ Suicide Screening Result



Interpretation

The Ask Suicide-Screening Questions (asQ) was administered on 25 June 2026. The respondent's pattern of responses resulted in a **negative screen**.

Client Responses

		Yes	No
1	In the past few weeks, have you wished you were dead?	1	0
2	In the past few weeks, have you felt that you or your family would be better off if you were dead?	1	0
3	In the past week, have you been having thoughts about killing yourself?	1	0
4	Have you ever tried to kill yourself?	1	0
When was the most recent attempt?			
Not Completed			
		Within the last 12 months	Over 1 year ago
	Within the last 12 months or over 1 year ago?	1	0



Client Name | Generic Jenny

		Yes	No
5	Are you having thoughts of killing yourself right now?	1	0
Describe briefly:			
Not Completed			

Scoring and Interpretation Information

For comprehensive information on the asQ, [see here](#).

The ARM-5 produces a total core alliance score. The total is reported both as a raw score (ranging from 5 to 35) and as an average item score (ranging from 1 to 7), where higher scores indicate a stronger client-rated alliance. Because the three content areas (Bond, Partnership, & Confidence) are highly interrelated and tend to move in the same direction, the total score is the primary output.

ARM Subscales

The ARM-5's five items map onto three content areas of the alliance:

- Bond (item 1, "My therapist is supportive"; score range 1–7): the client's sense of a supportive, warm working relationship with the therapist.
- Partnership (items 2 and 3, "My therapist and I agree about how to work together" and, reverse-scored, "My therapist and I have difficulty working jointly as a partnership"; score range 2–14): the client's sense of agreement and joint work on the tasks and goals of therapy.
- Confidence (items 4 and 5, "I have confidence in my therapist and their techniques" and "My therapist is confident in him/herself and his/her techniques"; score range 2–14): the client's confidence in the therapist and in the treatment.

The three areas are shown because they add clinical detail the total alone cannot: seeing which aspect of the relationship a client rated lower, whether the bond, the sense of partnership, or their confidence in the therapist and the approach, gives the clinician a concrete place to begin a conversation about repairing or strengthening the alliance.

ARM-5 Percentile Context

A percentile is presented for the total alliance score to give relative context. The percentile compares the client's average alliance score against the NovoPsych routine-care sample. A percentile of 50 marks the median score, the middle of the sample. A higher percentile indicates a stronger client-rated alliance relative to the sample, and a lower percentile indicates a weaker alliance. Because client alliance ratings cluster high, the percentile is best read as a relative position within a therapy sample rather than as an absolute judgement of the relationship, and the highest possible ARM-5 score sits at around the 82nd percentile.

ARM-5 Score Descriptors

Total ARM-5 scores are grouped into four descriptor bands. The bands are anchored to the response scale, where 6 is "agree" and 7 is "strongly agree", so an average of 6.6 or above means the client agrees the alliance is strong; the percentile is shown alongside as relative context against the adult reference sample.

- Strong (average 6.6 or above; raw 33–35): consistent agreement that the alliance is strong;



Client Name | Generic Jenny

around the 50th percentile and above.

- Moderate (average 6.0 to 6.4; raw 30–32): the client agrees the alliance is good; around the 23rd to 42nd percentile.
- Challenged (average 5.0 to 5.8; raw 25–29): only slight agreement, so the alliance is not yet fully endorsed; around the 3rd to 14th percentile.
- Significantly Challenged (average below 5.0; raw 5–24): at or below slight agreement, indicating a more substantial concern; around the 3rd percentile or below.

Most clients fall in the Moderate or Strong bands; the lower two flag relationships to attend to and openly discuss. A drop in score over time is more telling than any single rating.

ARM-5 Interpreting Change

The ARM-5 is designed for repeated administration so that change in the alliance can be tracked over the course of therapy. The direction and size of any change in the total score since the previous administration are reported and plotted over time. Any decrease in a client's score is treated as potentially meaningful and worth attention. Even small, session-to-session drops in the alliance can matter: single-point decreases on a brief alliance measure have been associated with poorer outcomes at the end of treatment, even when scores otherwise remain high (Miller et al., 2016), and session-to-session change in the alliance predicts later symptom change (Falkenström et al., 2013). A decline is therefore highlighted as a prompt to consider and discuss the working relationship.

ARM-5 Graphs

On a first administration the report presents the client's current total alliance score against the four descriptor bands, with the percentile shown as context on the right-hand side. Because alliance scores cluster near the top of the scale, the chart's average-score axis is truncated to a floor of 4 (the neutral point) whenever the score is above 4, so that differences near the ceiling remain visible; if the score is 4 or below, the axis extends to the full 1 to 7 range. A second chart displays the Bond, Partnership and Confidence areas as separate bars.

On repeat administrations a line chart plots the total alliance score across administrations so the trajectory of the working relationship is visible at a glance, with a secondary line chart of the three content areas showing which aspect of the alliance is shifting. The area bars and trajectories are there to aid discussion. Where the current alliance is low, or has declined since the previous administration, the report flags this as a prompt to attend to the alliance.